PAROLE OFFICER MARIA RAMIREZ,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. SA-23-CA-01419-XR
	§	
SANOFI U.S. SERVICES, INC., SANOFI-	§	
AVENTIS U.S. LLC,	§	
Defendant		

### ORDER AND ADVISORY

On this date, the Court considered the status of this case. This case was transferred from the multidistrict litigation proceeding ("MDL") in the Eastern District of Louisiana to this Court on November 9, 2023. ECF No. 3. The MDL was formed to centralize all pretrial proceedings to complete common general fact and expert discovery because the "actions all shared common factual questions, including whether Taxotere (docetaxel), a chemotherapy drug, causes permanent hair loss, whether defendants were aware of this possible side effect, and whether they failed to warn patients." *Id.* at 73. According to the MDL Court, "[c]ase-specific discovery and trial preparation, however, will be determined on remand or transfer." *Id.* at 116–17.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Plaintiff(s) confer with the Defendant(s) as required by Fed. R. Civ. P 26(f) to submit (1) a proposed scheduling order and (2) a Rule 26(f) Report in the formats shown in the attached documents for the Court's consideration by **December 15, 2023**. Failure to do so may result in the Court's entering its own scheduling order.

After receipt of the Proposed Scheduling Order and Rule 26(f) Report, the Court will issue an Order setting an Initial Pre-Trial Conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16.

At the request of the Bar, the San Antonio District Judges have implemented a procedure whereby a Magistrate Judge is assigned to each civil case at the time it is filed. The assignments

are made randomly and are evenly divided among the three San Antonio Magistrate Judges. If a

pretrial matter is referred by the District Judge, it will be handled by the Magistrate Judge to whom

the case was assigned. Similarly, if the parties consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, the case

will be placed on the docket of the assigned Magistrate Judge for all future proceedings, including

entry of final judgment. 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The Magistrate Judge assigned to this case is Richard

B. Farrer.

So that this Court may more efficiently manage its docket, the parties are hereby

**ORDERED** to notify the Court whether or not they consent, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to

Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. Therefore, within thirty (30) days of the date of the filing of this

Order, each party shall sign the appropriate election form to be filed with the Clerk's Office (see

attached).

It is so **ORDERED**.

**SIGNED** this November 15, 2023.

XAVIER RODRIGUEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

PAROLE OFFICER MARIA RAMIREZ, Plaintiff  v.  SANOFI U.S. SERVICES, INC., SANOFI- AVENTIS U.S. LLC, Defendant	<pre>\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Case No. SA-23-CA-01419-XR \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>
	TO THE CLERK OF COURT
Plaintiff in the above-captioned case following options):	elects as follows (please select only one of the
provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. Section the right to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge conduct an rendering a decision, and to order	nited States Magistrate Judge in accordance with on 636. Plaintiff in the above-captioned case waives I States District Judge and consents to have a United by and all further proceedings in this case, including the entry of final judgment. Any appeal shall be of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in accordance with
above-captioned case elects <b>not</b> to l	e a United States Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff in the have this case decided by a United States Magistrate occed before the United States District Judge.
Plaintiff's Name	
By:Signed by Attorney	

Date

PAROLE OFFICER MARIA RAMIREZ, Plaintiff	§ §
v.	\$ \$ Case No. SA-23-CA-01419-XR \$ \$
SANOFI U.S. SERVICES, INC., SANOFI- AVENTIS U.S. LLC, Defendant	<b>§</b> §
<u>DEFENDANT'S ADVISOR</u>	RY TO THE CLERK OF COURT
Defendant in the above-captioned car following options):	se elects as follows (please select only one of the
provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. Sec waives the right to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge of including rendering a decision, an	nited States Magistrate Judge in accordance with etion 636. Defendant in the above-captioned case a United States District Judge and consents to have conduct any and all further proceedings in this case d to order the entry of final judgment. Any appear Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in accordance (2)(3).
above-captioned case elects <b>not</b> to	a United States Magistrate Judge. Defendant in the have this case decided by a United States Magistrate occeed before the United States District Judge.
Defendant's Name	
By:	
Signed by Attorney	

Date

PAROLE OFFICER MARIA RAMIREZ,  Plaintiff	8 8 8
v.	<pre>\$ \$ \$ Case No. SA-23-CA-01419-XR \$ \$</pre>
SANOFI U.S. SERVICES, INC., SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC,  Defendant	\$ \$ \$
AGREED¹ SCHEDULI	NG RECOMMENDATIONS
The parties recommend that the follow control the course of this case:	ing deadlines be entered in the scheduling order to
MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO AMEN	ND PLEADINGS.
The deadline for Plaintiff(s) to file a magneties is <sup>2</sup>	notion seeking leave to amend pleadings; or to join
	a motion (1) to designate responsible third parties, es Code § 33.004(a); (2) seeking leave to amend
EXPERTS	
1	hall file their designation of testifying experts and required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) on or before
5	file their designation of testifying experts and serve aired by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) on or before

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This document should generally be filed as an agreed upon recommendation. If any party cannot agree to a certain deadline, that party should indicate with specificity why a dispute exists as to the appropriate deadline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Generally 60 days from date of this order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Generally 75 days from date of this order. <sup>4</sup> Generally 90 days from date of this order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Generally 120 days from date of this order.

All parties shall file all designations of rebuttal experts and serve on all parties the material required by Fed. R. of Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) for such rebuttal experts, to the extent not already served, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the report of the opposing expert.

The deadline for filing supplemental reports required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) is

#### **COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY**

Written discovery requests are not timely if they are filed so close to this deadline that under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure the response would not be due until after the deadline.

The deadline for the completion of all discovery is \_\_\_\_\_\_.<sup>6</sup>

#### ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION/MEDIATION

The parties must mediate this case on or before \_\_\_\_\_ and file a report in accordance with Rule 88 within seven (7) days after the mediation is completed.

All offers of settlement are to be private, not filed. The parties are ordered to retain the written offers of settlement and response as the Court will use these in assessing attorneys' fees and costs at the conclusion of the proceedings.

If a settlement is reached, the parties should immediately notify the Court so the case may be removed from the Court's trial docket.

#### PRETRIAL MOTIONS

No motion (other than a motion in limine) may be filed after this date except for good cause. The deadline to file motions (including dispositive motions and Daubert motions) is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. 

This deadline is also applicable to the filing of any summary judgment motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and any defense of qualified immunity. Leave of court is automatically given to file motions, responses, and replies not to exceed 30 pages in length. 
Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d) does not apply to the time limits set forth in Local Rule CV-7 for responses and replies to motions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Generally 180 days from date of this order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This date should not be any later than 90 days before the case is scheduled for trial in order to allow the Court adequate time to rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This supersedes the page limit specified in TXWD Local Rules CV-7(d)-(f).

# WITNESS LIST, EXHIBIT LIST, AND PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES

The deadline for filing Rule 26(a)(3) disclosures is
The deadline for filing objections under Rule (26)(a)(3) is Any objections not made will be deemed waived.
JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER AND MOTION IN LIMINE
The deadline to file a Final Joint Pretrial Order and any motion in limine is
All attorneys are responsible for preparing the Final Joint Pretrial Order, which must contain the following:
(1) a short statement identifying the Court's jurisdiction. If there is an unresolved jurisdictional question, state it;
(2) a brief statement of the case, one that the judge could read to the jury panel for an introduction to the facts and parties;
(3) a summary of the remaining claims and defenses of each party;
(4) a list of facts all parties have reached agreement upon;
(5) a list of contested issues of fact;
(6) a list of the legal propositions that are not in dispute;
(7) a list of contested issues of law;
(8) a list of all exhibits expected to be offered. Counsel will make all exhibits available for examination by opposing counsel. All documentary exhibits must be exchanged before the final pre-trial conference. The exhibit list should clearly reflect whether a particular exhibit is objected to or whether there are no objections to the exhibit;
(9) a list of the names and addresses of witnesses who may be called with a brief statement of the nature of their testimony;
(10) an estimate of the length of trial;
(11) for a jury trial, include (a) proposed questions for the voir dire examination, and (b) a proposed charge, including instructions, definitions, and special interrogatories, with authority;
(12) for a nonjury trial, include (a) proposed findings of fact and (b) proposed conclusions

of law, with authority;

- (13) the signatures of all attorneys; and
- (14) a place for the date and the signature of the presiding judge.

#### FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The Fi	inal Pretrial Conference shall b	e held on [THIS	DATE WILL B	E COMPLETE	ED BY
THE COURT		•			

Motions in limine, if any, will be heard on this date. Counsel should confer prior to this hearing on any issues raised in a motion in limine or the Joint Pretrial Order. Any party intending to use a demonstrative exhibit should provide the same to opposing counsel at least 3 days prior to the Final Pretrial conference so that if any objections or issues are raised about the demonstrative exhibit, they can be addressed at the final pretrial conference.

#### **TRIAL**

	The [Bench/	Jury] Ti	rial Date is []	PARTIES	S MAY	SUGC	BEST A	TRIAL	DATE.	IT IS
RECO	MMENDED	THAT	THE PART	IES CON	NTACT	THE	COURT	ROOM	DEPUTY	TC
DETER	RMINE AVA	ILABIL	ITY ON THE	E COURT	CALE	NDAR	2]			·

PAROLE OFFICER MARIA RAMIREZ,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
V.	§	Case No. SA-23-CA-01419-XR
	§	
SANOFI U.S. SERVICES, INC., SANOFI-	§	
AVENTIS U.S. LLC,	§	
Defendant		

#### **JOINT FED. R. CIV. P. 26 REPORT**

- 1. Are there any outstanding jurisdictional issues? For removed cases based on diversity jurisdiction, do the parties agree that the amount in controversy exceeded \$75,000 at the time of removal? If not, each party should state its position on the amount in controversy.
- 2. Are there any unserved parties? If more than 90 days have passed since the filing of the Complaint or petition, should these unserved parties be dismissed?
- 3. What are the causes of action, defenses, and counterclaims in this case? What are the elements of the cause(s) of action, defenses, and counterclaims pled?
- 4. Are there any agreements or stipulations that can be made about any facts in this case or any element in the cause(s) of action?
- 5. State the parties' views and proposals on all items identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).
- 6. What, if any, discovery has been completed? What discovery remains to be done? Have the parties considered conducting discovery in phases?
- 7. What, if any, discovery disputes exist?
- 8. Have the parties discussed the desirability of filing a proposed order pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502?
- 9. Have the parties discussed mediation?